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NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Good evening, everyone. Thank you for coming today, and welcome to the New York City Districting Commission's first public hearing and, and I want to thank Borough President Marty Markowitz for hosting us here tonight.

Before any of the speakers come forward I'd like to invite Council Letisha James to address the room.

MS. JAMES: Welcome.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: My name is Benito Romano, and I am the Chair of the New York City Redistricting Commission.

We have this facility until 9:00 o'clock tonight, and I want to make sure that we hear from everyone who is signed up. For those of you who did not sign up and wish to speak, please see one of our staff people. They'll identify themselves in the audience. If you require translation for your statement, please let the staff at the registration desk know. They will insure that a translator is provided when it's your turn to speak.

Before we begin to take testimony I'd like to make a few opening comments. At the beginning
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of this month the Commission advertised in community and ethnic newspapers announcing the Commission's public hearing schedule. An E-mail blast was sent to over 5,000 individuals and organizations. Various advocacy groups helped spread the word through their individual networks, and the hearing schedule was publicized on our Facebook page and Twitter feed. From the look of the room, we seem to have reached out to many of you. Nevertheless, as we go forward in this process we will seek other additional ways to maximize your participation in this process. Tonight represents the first step in New York City's Districting process that is laid out in the New York City Charter. After the Commission holds public hearings in each of the five boroughs over the next 10 days, the Commission will meet again on August 24 at one P.M. at the Council's Chambers in City Hall to discuss what we've learned through this hearing process and other important issues.

At the August 24 Commission meeting we will direct staff to create a Preliminary District Plan according to the Commission's instructions. On September 4, at 1 P.M., at the Council's
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Chambers at City Hall, the Commission will meet again, this time to review and adopt the Preliminary District Plan that will then be made available for public inspection and comment.

Please note that both these meetings will be open to the public, but there will not be any opportunity for the public to speak or make comments. The public will have an opportunity to comment on this Preliminary District Plan during the second round of public hearings held throughout the five boroughs from 5 P.M. until 9 P.M. on October 2, 3, 4, 10 and 11. The places are still to be determined, but the hearing venues will be in different locations to maximize opportunities for community participation.

Comments from this round of public hearings will then be considered during a stated Commission meeting scheduled for October 18, where staff will once again be directed to revise the Preliminary District Plan in response to the comments that we receive.

At the next stated Commission meeting on October 30, the revised plan will be presented, considered and adopted by the Commission. This revised plan will then be delivered to the City
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Council for its inspection and approval by November 5. According to the City Charter, by November 27 the Council must either adopt the Revised District Plan, at which point the plan will then be filed with the City Clerk, or the Council can object to the Revised District Plan, in which case the plan will be returned to the Commission with the Council's comments and objections.

The Commission will then have until January 5, 2013 to create a revised plan. This will then set the stage for a new set of public hearings, which will have been tentatively scheduled from January 28 to February 8 in each of the five boroughs. Again, we aim to have these hearings in different locations from the prior two rounds of public hearings to maximize the opportunities for public participation.

The Commission will then have until March 5 to create, adopt and submit a final plan to the City Clerk and to the U.S. Department of Justice for the Voting Rights Act Section 5 preclearance. This process is described in a flow chart that is available for you tonight. Another handout that is also available are two maps. One map is of the
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five boroughs of the current City Council District lines, and another is a map of Brooklyn with the boroughs' current City Council District lines. Both maps include the total population within the Districts. The population figures reflected in the handouts have already been adjusted to reflect prisoners serving state sentences but having residences within the respective Council Districts as of the time of the taking of the U.S. Census of 2010.

On the advice of our Council the Commission will take these figures into consideration when drawing District lines. It is also important to note that as we begin these public hearings there are certain factors that the law obligates the Commission to consider during the Districting process. These include the total population deviation of any District cannot be greater than 10 percent of the average population for all Districts. Any plan has to insure the fair and effective representation of racial and language minorities in the City of New York. Our plan must keep neighborhoods and communities with established ties of common interests and association intact. The District lines must be

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION compact, and they must be contiguous. We have to avoid, if at all possible, crossing borough lines in drawing districts. We also have to avoid diminishing the effectiveness of representation of voters, avoid diluting.

Finally, I want to bring to your attention our website, WWW.NYC.gov/Districting. The site is the special repository of all documents and videos related to the District Commission. It also contains a complete schedule of our public hearings. And a form where you can preregister for all the hearings and be added to our mailing list. I hope you will all visit the site and let us know how it can be more informative and interactive.

Now, that concludes my opening remarks, and before I ask the Commission members to introduce themselves I'm advised that Jon Paul Lupo, Chief of Staff of the Borough President, Borough President Markowitz, has joined us and would like to say a word or two.

MR. LUPO: Yeah, thank you very much, Chairman Romano, Executive Director Carl Hum, and all the Commissioners for holding this hearing at Borough Hall tonight. I especially thank you to

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION all the members of the public who have given their time to come out and give their input on what is a very important process in the functioning of elections in New York City.

I have two requests from the Borough President. One that may not be within your powers, Commissioners, as you go through this process. One, the Borough President would like you to see what you can do to reverse the great mistake of '98, and maybe when you draw your lines Brooklyn can become its own city again. (Laughter from the audience.)

MR. LUPO: Maybe you'll look into it.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Bring back the Dodgers. MR. LUP: The second thing, a little bit more serious, the Borough President would like you to do everything in your power to make sure that neighborhoods and communities are kept together as you go through this process so that all residents of Brooklyn and New York City are represented in the communities in which they reside.

With that I'll leave you to your work, enjoy our space, and thank you very much for your service.
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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.
(The audience applauded.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: At this point I'd like to have the Commissioners introduce themselves, starting down at my left and working across in this direction, and you can skip me and keep going.

MR. WURZEL: Hi, my name Marc Wurzel. From -- I live in Manhattan. This is my second go round on this Commission.

MR. YU: My name is Justin Yu from Manhattan. Happy to be in Brooklyn.

MR. HART: My name is Rob Hart from Staten Island.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you. My name is John Robert, and I live in the Bronx, and I love Brooklyn.

MR. PADAVAN: I'm Frank Padavan from Queens, but I was born in Brooklyn like everybody else.

MS. PROVENZANO: I'm Madeline Provenzano, former New York City Councilwoman, and I'm from the Bronx. City Hall.

MS. PERSAUD: Good evening. I'm Roxanne Persaud from Brooklyn.

MS. HANKS: Good evening. I'm Kamillah
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Hanks, and I'm from Staten Island. I'm glad to be in Brooklyn.

MS. LIN: Hi, I'm Linda Lin, and I'm a Queens resident.

MS. CARVAJAL WOLFE: I'm Gloria Carvajal Wolfe, and I reside in Manhattan.

MR. ODOM: Oscar Odom, III. I am Brooklyn. (Laughter from the audience.)

MR. CERULLO: I'm Scott Cerullo, I'm from Staten Island.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you. Our first speaker is James Hong from the Asian American Community Coalition on Redistricting and Democracy.

MR. HONG: Good afternoon, Districting Commission members, staff, and concerned citizens of Brooklyn. My name is James and -- James Hong, and I am speaking on behalf of ACCORD, the Asian American Community Coalition on Redistricting \& Democracy. ACCORD is a non-partisan Pan-Asian coalition of organizations and individuals committed to advancing the opportunity -opportunities of Asian-Pacific Americans and minority communities to meaningfully participate in the political process. ACCORD realizes that
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redistricting plays a pivotal and fundamental role in these opportunities, and we support redistricting plans that keep together communities of interest that exist in and around ethnic neighborhoods across New York.

Historically, Asian-Pacific Americans in New York City have been, like other minorities, treated unequally by District lines. The growth of the APA population in New York City has dramatically outpaced all other racial groups, and now, in 2012, it is imperative that our Districts account for the possibility that Asian voters are being disenfranchised by the current -- by the outdated City Council lines.

In Brooklyn, the growth of the APA population is astounding. 40 percent overall increase, and a 46 percent increase among the voting age population. There are now over a quarter million APA's in Brooklyn alone. This means around 1 out of 4 APA's in New York City is a resident of Brooklyn. Many of these APA's live in an area that spans from parts of Sunset Park over into Bensonhurst, much of Bensonhurst, and while the current District lines may have served southwest Brooklyn in 2002, this part of the

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION Borough has changed rapidly and dramatically over the past 10 years. Bensonhurst has become one of the City's most robust Chinatowns, and Sunset Park has become an even greater center of commercial and cultural activities for APA's in Brooklyn; however, these adjacent neighborhoods are divided into at least 5 different Districts with the current lines: The 38th, the 43rd, the 44 th, the 47 th, and the 50 th. We refer the Commission to the Asian American Legal Defense and Education Funds's Communities of Interest Survey and the neighborhood boundaries contained in that document. As a member of ACCORD, AALDEF, has rigorously solicited input from our member organizations, and even beyond, in drafting these boundaries, and we feel that those in Brooklyn can be especially helpful in informing the Commission's revisions. And those neighborhoods or portions of those neighborhoods contain resident sharing economic, social, political linguistic, cultural and educational concerns that deserve to be reflected in the results of the redistricting process.

So I'll just end there. There's more in a written statement, but we are joined by many of
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our members here. The United Chinese Association of Brooklyn, OCA-NY, and AALDEF will be speaking later.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Hong.
Before we get to the next speaker, we're going to have to move the mic so the cameras have a clear shot of the Commission.
(Brief pause while the speaker microphone is moved to the opposite of the room.)

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Our next speaker is Wah Lee of OCA-NY.

MR. LEE: Good evening. My name is Dr. Wah Lee. I am testifying on behalf of OCA-NY. OCA-NY is a founding member of ACCORD. I have been a board member of OCA-NY since 2010.

I am 40 years old. I grew up in Bensonhurst where I worked in my parents' dry-cleaner and attended P.S. 247. I completed a medical internship in Sunset Park Lutheran Medical Center where I am still affiliated as a physician.

The 2010 census reviewed that the number of Asian-Americans has reached a million in New York City with over a quarter million in Brooklyn alone. We urge the City Council to keep communities of common interest with significant
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Asian populations together. The Asian population in Brooklyn grew by 41 percent since 2000. OCA-NY supports a City Council District that contains parts of Bensonhurst and Sunset Park linked by similar communities of interest living approximately between 60th and 71th Streets. These three localities have shared economic ties, resources, and social networks. The Asian plurality community living approximately between 60th and 71th Streets links the Asian neighborhoods of Sunset Park and Bensonhurst and caters to both of them. For instance, when I organized funeral services for my father-in-law we chose the funeral home Ralph Aievoli \& Son located on 65th Street. For the geographic convenience of my in-laws' family who are scattered south of Sunset Park and Bensonhurst, this business recognized the changing demographics of these interconnecting neighborhoods. They have Chinese funeral services in Chinese. My neighbor, who is ChineseAmerican and has lived in Bensonhurst all his life, also used this funeral home on 65th Street when his father passed away.

OCA-NY proposes that the Asian population in
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Sunset Park be linked to the Asian population in Bensonhurst by the Asian plurality community living approximately between 60th and 71st Streets. These populations have similar social needs and have much in common other than race. These three communities, while geographically contiguous are currently not in the same District. These three communities have similar needs regarding bilingual access to healthcare, quality of ESL options in public education, transportation to employment opportunities, culturally competent service and hate crimes prevention. State Assembly District 49 recognizes the communities of common interest in Sunset Park and the Asian plurality community approximately between 60th and 71st Streets, which extends to Bensonhurst. State Assembly District 47 recognizes and keeps intact the community of interest in Bensonhurst. Since City Council Districts are larger than State Assembly Districts, we believe it is feasible to create a City Council District encompassing the communities of Asians in Sunset Park and Bensonhurst linked by the Asian plurality community approximately between 60th and 71st

Streets. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Lee.
Our next speaker is Steve Chung of the United Chinese Association of Brooklyn.

MR. CHUNG: First of all, who is the timekeeper? Okay. Before you press the button, right -- oh, start already. I was here in the state task force (phonetic) public hearing, right, but I love this Commission because you are all from New York City. But in the state task force some of them are from almost from Canada. So saw, they probably don't understand what we talk about from New York City.
(The audience applauded.)
MR. CHUNG: And my -- good evening first, right. Good evening for the Commission members, and good evening for the good, my fellow good citizens, and for some of the good member already brief describe Brooklyn is a very ripe and very drastic changed neighborhood now. In Asian community, and I'm from -- by the way, my name is Steve Chung, and I'm the President of the United Chinese association of Brooklyn. And our association is located in Bensonhurst, and we, Bensonhurst, and we eat in Bensonhurst, we travel
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in Bensonhurst, we serve in Bensonhurst, and also we travel to Sunset Park too because Sunset Park and Bensonhurst right now is called the two Chinatown in Brooklyn. And what I'm trying to propose is in 2011 we create a first ever political District in Brooklyn, which is the Assembly District 49. And now I'm proposing, right, we can build another influential District, City District, in by combining Bensonhurst and Sunset Park together. And together, right, we can preserve our community with value and interest. And as of now, right, Bensonhurst is -- was divided into four City Council District, and Bensonhurst was three. And by combining together, right, we can create a new City Council with 47 percent Asian population, and that will encourage the Asian population to be more involved with voting engagement, because they feel that now their vote is more important, their vote can be influence the final election result. And I hope you can take into consideration with ACCORD proposal, and my proposal, and our community proposal. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Chung. Our next speaker is Esmeralda Simmons of the
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Center For Law \& Justice at Medgar Evers College. MS. SIMMONS: Good evening. My name is Esmeralda Simmons, and I wish a good evening to all the members of the Commission as well as the Executive Director.

I'm the Executive Director of the Center For Law \& Social Justice of Medgar Evers College. We are a 26-year-old institution founded for the purpose of providing quality advocacy and research and training in advocacy services to New Yorkers. We specialize in racial justice work, and one of our subspecialities is in voting rights.

As I have provided you in written testimony, you will see that the Center For Social Law \& Justice has a 25-year-old history of advocating for voting rights equity in New York City for black New Yorkers and New Yorkers of color in particular. Through our current Racial Justice Redistricting Project, the Center For Social Law \& Justice has conducted a series of community training on the use of census data to the New York State and City redistricting process. We also spearheaded a coalition, Black New Yorkers For Fair Redistricting, that seeks to
protect the rights of black New Yorkers through the districting process. We are also an active member, very proud member, of the Unity Map Group that produced the heralded Unity Maps during the state redistricting process. Those Unity Maps clearly demonstrated how the voting rights of all Voting Rights Act-protected groups and New York City could simultaneously be respected and protected.

The center for Social Law \& Justice intends to produce a City Council Unity Map with our coorganizations, which we hope will be seriously considered by the Commission. Our recommendations -- and I note that some of these recommendations have already been implemented and I'm very, very proud as a former vice chair of this commission to see that things are moving along so swiftly notwithstanding the late start. The Center For Law \& Social Justice strongly urges the New York City Redistricting Commission to take all steps necessary to complete the redistricting process in a timely fashion. 1. Immediately implement the law that ended prison gerrymandering; 2. Make the adjusted BTD data downloadable and available to the public online;
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3. Chart out a comprehensive timetable. You've already done that; 4. Give notice of the second and third set of hearings -- and we applaud the evening hours as they allow for greater input -toward the protection of voting rights of black New Yorkers. Black votes make up 25.5 percent of all of New York City's population; over 2 million people. I'll conclude.

Notwithstanding that fact, for the first time in three decades there is not a single black senior staff member serving on the Commission or at the Commission. Such blatant disregard for diversity at the Commission does not indicate the level of respect that will be needed during the districting process where cultural diversity and sensitivity are necessary. We call on the Districting Commission to undertake its duties with the heightened respect for the black communities throughout the City and the voting rights of black New Yorkers.

Public access process procedure. I will simply say that we are calling for you, calling upon the Commission to -- and I'll give this to you in writing -- give the public full access to all of your data, including community-of-interest
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data, election results, and socioeconomic data that's not readily available. Establish dedicated, user friendly, interactive multi-language online maps and software. Make the redistricting criteria public. I know it's in the Charter, but you might need to do a little more than send people to the Charter, and have it online assistance and a live help line.

I thank you. I look forward to working you with you cooperatively so that we can have a smooth and beneficial redistricting process for all New Yorkers.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you. Our next speaker is Dick Dadey of Citizens Union.

MR. DADEY: Good evening, Commissioners. My name is Dick Dadey. I'm the Executive Director of Citizens Union, a non-partisan organization dedicated to making Democracy work for all New Yorkers. We appreciate the complexity of the task that you are about to undertake. While the New York City Charter provides a good foundation for the process of drawing lines with mandated deadlines and criteria, there's more that can be done to insure that the public has the utmost confidence in the process as it unfolds. We

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION believe that the Commission can administratively additional perhaps beyond the City Charter to ensure the redistricting process is open, transparent and fair. We have no specific suggestions at this point about the makeup or the design of specific Districts, but it is important to note that the changes for 2013 will likely be greater than those made in the 2003 lines since the City's demography has changed significantly in the past decade with increases of 31 percent in the Asian community and 8 percent in the Latino community.

We are encouraged that the Commission plans on providing online mapping software to allow the public to draw its own District lines. We additionally recommend the Commission do the following to improve the transparency and public participation. Three things: 1. Make available on the Commission's website any maps, comments, and testimony provided by the public. Several bodies in New York City have provided on their web sites public feedback that has been received through various channels allowing information perspectives to be shared with the public; 2. Providing the public any underlying data for the
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draft maps revealing District population in user friendly formats. It will be essential to provide the public with the information until it's necessary to conduct their independent analyses of the proposed maps; 3. Webcast future Commission meetings and hearings. We understand that the Commission staff is examining the possibility of web casting such hearings and meetings of the Commission. The 2010 City Charter Commission web cast its proceedings to locations outside of government offices, holding many of its meetings at different locations in all the five brothers. Citizens Union provided recommendations to the City Charter Revision Commission regarding the drawing of lines based on new criteria which we consider you to adopt. There are essentially two. The current criteria is very good, but we urge you to consider these additional two criteria: 1. To the extent practical, the most and least population Council District should not exceed or be lower than the mean population of all its Districts by more than 1,2 or 3 percent unless of course needed to comply with the Voting Rights Act or as required by the New York City Charter. Council Districts

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION should also not be drawn to favor or oppose any incumbent legislator, or any previous or presumed candidate for office. This builds on the existing criteria and prevents the weakening of any political party's representational power.

Citizens Union also recommends that the number of Commission members' signatures to adopt a Council District Plan should be a minimum of 11 to 15 signatures. The current threshold is 9 to 15. The higher threshold will ensure the requirements set forth in the criteria particularly provisions to preventing partisan gerrymandering are met in the final plan.

I wish you well and congratulate you on the public service ahead of you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Dadey.
Our next speaker is William Stanford.
MR. STANFORD: The best way to redraw these Districts is to go by the bus corridors such as Fulton Street, Lafayette Avenue, Beadel Avenue, Myrtle Avenue, Nostrand Avenue, Flatbush Avenue, Ralph Avenue, which is what I told the last four representatives earlier this year.

And also, starting public hearings at 5 P.M. is a bad idea. They shouldn't start to run by 5
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to 9, they should run between 7 and 9. People are still signing out at 5, and you're forcing to them to break their necks on their way here like a bunch of fugitives, like Dr. Richard Kimble, which I find annoying. It reminds me of the MTA. Okay? Public hearings should start between 7 and 9, not between 5 and 9 .

This public hearing was supposed to start at 5. It started over 15 minutes later because some of you -- most of you were late. If I can arrive here before 4:30 why couldn't you do the same? It's the same with the MTA. I'm at this site long before bell time, and they arrive when they feel like it. I don't get that.

When you redraw these lines don't make the same mistakes the last four representatives made. They did a poor job drawing the state and federal government districts. Don't make the same mistake they made. As I said, consider going by the bus corridors. You still -- keep the neighborhood together, but go by the bus corridors, that's the best way to redraw them. You know where they are, just get a bus map. That's the best way. As I said, web casts? I don't know if whether they should be web cast. They maybe they
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should. After all, it's the latest technology.
(Addressed to Mr. Wurzel.) You need to turn that off. Put it on vibrate before $I$ go on the mic. That's not very swift, that's definitely not very swift.

So as I said, my suggestion is go by the bus corridors. If the public wants web casts, start the program at 7 P.M., not 5 P.M. That's all I have to say for now. But just, just take that into consideration, because as I said, people still sign out at 5 P.M., so by the time they arrive here they may not get a chance to speak. If they don't get a chance to speak they'll just breathe down your neck or jump down your throat. You don't want that. You want to hear from the public. You have to convenience, not inconvenience them. So take that into consideration.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Stanford. Our next speaker is Tom Murphy. MR. MURPHY: Good evening. I want to give my condolences to the two members of the Commission who represent -- who are from Brooklyn. They have the biggest workload in that they alone are to be familiar and give the rest of you advice in drawing the most numbered of districts in the City of New York, yet there is only two of them for the largest borough of the City. The smallest borough has three members. And they only have, I think, two districts drawn. One line. They just have to separate the firemen from the policemen, and they got it done. Of course there are five members from Manhattan. And that is by design, and I do believe that here in Brooklyn where we have, as you've been told by my neighbors in Sunset Park, and I am from Sunset Park, I'm from Bensonhurst, great shifts in population requiring great wisdom in figuring out what the next 10 years, how the next 10 years the City Council should be drawn. I don't know how you are going to get it done with this lack of resources in one area, and there's also a lack of resources for the Bronx, which could use a little work, too. But inasmuch as someone in their wisdom saw to it that the Bronx should get two and Brooklyn should get two and Manhattan should get what they were owed, I don't see a good product coming out. I think you will be short on time, short on resources, short on understanding.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Murphy. Our

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION next speaker is Linda Orlando.

MS. ORLANDO: Thank you, Commissioner. Thank you, Commissioners. My name is Linda Orlando. I live in the Towers of Bay Ridge on 65th Street --

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Can you just keep your voice up, Miss Orlando.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can I just lower this a little bit? Okay. Okay.

Dear Commissioners, the Towers of Bay Ridge are a State of New York Mitchell-Lama housing co-op complex with 811 apartments. I'm talking about the Bay Ridge 43rd Council District. The Towers of Bay Ridge are a State of New York Mitchell-Lama a housing co-op complex with 811 apartments on 65th Street. 260 65th Street is on 2nd, is between 2nd and 3rd Avenue, and 350 are between 3rd and 4th Avenue in Brooklyn. They were built in 1972. At that time they were in the 43rd Council District. In 1981 they were redistricted into the 38th Council District.

I would like to ask the Commissioners to move the boundary line two blocks from Wakeman Place back to 65th Street and return the Towers of Bay Ridge back to the 43rd Council District.

Bay Ridge is a naturally occurring
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retirement community (NORC) with many senior citizens who lived their here all their lives and would like to remain this in community the rest of their lives. The seniors who live in the Towers, and many other seniors in the neighborhood, avail themselves of services provided by the Bay Ridge Community Center on Ovington Avenue and 4th Avenue, Seniors on the Move bus service that takes the seniors all around Bay Ridge to all the doctors' offices, and many other senior services and health and medical facilities available in the Bay Ridge area.

During the last 40 years the shareholders of the Towers have patronized every business of Bay Ridge. They visit all the doctors and lawyers. Their children attend the Bay Ridge schools. Their seniors are members of the senior centers and veterans chapters. They have accounts in all the banks. They are members of all the Bay Ridge community organizations. They worship in all the churches. All the stores know our refrigerator, stove, air conditioner requirements, floor and window dressing requirements. The shareholders frequently frequent all the stores on 86th Street, 3rd

Avenue and 5th Avenue. They should be in the same District and be represented by the same Council Member that represents all the businesses in which are located all the Bay Ridge shopping areas.

The Towers have always been an integral part of Bay Ridge and should be included in the 43rd Council District. Above Wakeman Place is the Belt Parkway-65th Street entrance and exit ramps, which are surrounded by a grassy area. There are no structures involved other than the Towers of Bay Ridge, and my redistricting request, my request involves nothing other than the two towers, the two buildings on 65th Street between 2nd and 3rd and 3rd and 4th. It's just a grassy area before the highway. Please move the boundary line from Wakeman Place to 65th Street so the Towers of Bay Ridge could be back in the 43rd Council District. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Miss Orlando. (The audience applauded.)

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Our next speaker is Stefan Ringel. I hope I'm pronouncing it correctly.

MR. RINGEL: Good afternoon. Good afternoon, Commission. My name is Stefan Ringel. I work
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for Council Member Jumaane Williams. I will be reading his testimony.

Good afternoon. My name is Jumaane D. Williams. I serve as representative for the 45th District and New York City Council covering the Communities of Flatbush, East Flatbush, Flatlands, Midwood and Canarsie.

I would like to thank the New York City Redistricting Commission for allowing me to testify today at the first public hearing of the (inaudible) as we discussed the initial phases of your work in drafting new legislative maps for the City Council. Special greetings go to Roxanne Persaud who serves my in my district as the President of the 69th Precinct Community Council.

First I'd like to commend Mayor Bloomberg, (inaudible) for assembling a commission that reflects the diversity of background in our city. The redistricting process requires an understanding of the many communities within the five boroughs and the importance of giving every voice proper representation. The 15 members of the Commission under the leadership of Chair Romano and Executive Director Hum presents the
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breadth and depth of experiences that should serve them well in this process. With the importance of various perspectives in mind, I hope the Commissions will hire a staff that is equally as diverse as its membership. Their hands-on experience will be essential to guiding this process, especially since technical details of redistricting will be new to certain members.

At this time it does not seem the Commission staff reflects this standard diversity which we should be collectively seeing. Indeed diversity should be a top priority of every stage of the redistricting process. (Inaudible) in demographics but on a personal level is about protecting and maintaining the voices of common communities. Our government performs best when the range of those voices citywide is reflected by the elected officials who serve and advocate for them. Political considerations alone cannot be the determinative factor in how the Commission draws these lines. Trust in government is seriously damaged by the state redistricting process this year due to the blatant gerrymandering that Senate Republicans concocted. As an elected official, my personal interests pale in concordance with the interests of my (inaudible). To further ensure that this is achieved as well as to eliminate any specter of malfeasance, I call upon the Commission to expand public access to take that and technology that will allow everyday New Yorkers to observe the redistricting process and to offer their input that will offer a more complete picture of how the City has changed over the last 10 years. I also hope that the Commission will properly account for our incarcerated population. Last December the State Supreme Court upheld a law that ensures prisoners will be counted in their home communities, not where they are jailed. It seems clear this decision governs over population counts of both city and state redistricting (inaudible) artificially diluting the electoral influence of certain communities in the past, but I am confident the Commission will confirm the Court's rule and the concept of fair representation.

Thank you again for allowing me to testify today. I am additionally submitting maps for the 45th Council District for the record. Feel free to contact my office for any followup as we move
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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Ringel. Our next speaker is Kevin Peter Carroll, Democratic District Leader for the 60th Assembly District.

MR. CARROLL: Good evening, Commissioners, and thank you for listening to the public about the important topic of redistricting. My name is Kevin Peter Carroll, and I am the Democratic District Leader, State Committee Member for the 60th Assembly District in Bay Ridge.

I'm here tonight to talk about our neighborhood's Council District lines. Currently we are represented by one Community Board, District 10, Community Board 10 and one police precinct district, the 68th Precinct. This is ideal as when residents have concerns that need the attention of the Community Board and/or the police, our residents know where to turn. The same unfortunately cannot be said for our elected representatives. I know that this Commission only pertains to Council lines, but it has to be said my community has been divided into four different Assembly Districts, two different State Senate Districts, two different Congressional Districts,
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 and two different Council Districts. I'm the first to admit that when it comes to Council District lines almost all of Bay Ridge is included in one District, currently numbered the 43rd District.For those of you who may not know, when I speak about Bay Ridge I am speaking about the blocks between 65th Street and 101st Street, from Shore Road to 10th Avenue here in Brooklyn. The Council District is contiguous in those areas with the sole exception being the Towers of Bay Ridge. Two Towers with 811 units combined, located at 260 and 350, 65th Street. They currently reside in the 38th Council District that is mostly in our neighboring Sunset Park. I know many of the residents of the Towers, a lot them are here today, they're active in the same civic, religious, and political organizations that other members of our Bay Ridge neighbors frequent and are active in; however, when I've gone around there they feel like the stepchildren of Bay Ridge. This is because they're not part of any of the political districts in our community. They are represented by a different congressman, a different State Senator, a different State

Assembly person, and a different Council person. The congressional state lines, they are set already, and our leaders in Albany did not listen to our concerns when we asked for a single contiguous district.

I am now asking you, the Commissioners, and the City not to make the same mistake that our leaders in Albany did by slicing and dicing our community. Legislative lines should be drawn to be inclusive of entire neighborhoods. Past Council redistricting commissions have done that for the most part in Bay Ridge. This year you have the opportunity to correct the fact that the Towers are not part of the Bay Ridge Council District. Our neighbor would warrant the inclusion of the Towers and, if need to be, due to population trends I would advocate for a small portion of the Bensonhurst easternmost part of the $43 r d$ to be included in the 47 th District. CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Carroll. MR. CARROLL: Thank you for my testimony. And if I could add one statement from a Bay Ridge Tower resident told me when I spoke to him about redistricting that is, the Towers of Bay Ridge are more than just a name, they are the
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neighborhood in which we live in. Thank you.
(The audience applauded.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Our next speaker is Jerry Vattamala of the Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund.

MR. VATTAMALA: Good evening. My name is Jerry Vattamala. I am a staff attorney with the Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund, AALDEF. AALDEF is a civil rights organization protecting the advancement of the rights of Asian Americans through litigation advocacy and education. AALDEF has been in involved in redistricting for about 30 years, since 1982. State Assembly, State Senate, Congressional, Council level. I have submitted documents to the staff in front of you, I just passed out a document that AALDEF prepared, the Asian-American boundaries. We developed this document by meeting with the community groups throughout New York City biracial Asian-American populations since March of last year, and we asked the community groups three basic questions: 1. What are the boundary lines of your community down to the street? Now we know what the, you know, there's zip codes and City Planning boundaries.
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We wanted to know what members of the community felt their own boundary lines were and where another community started. So those are the lines that are in front of you right now.

We also asked them what are the characteristics of the community, what makes your community your community. That dealt with socioeconomic status, where do people work, where do people go to school, what common transportation lines do you have, hospitals, healthcare, and that was memorialized in a document, the Communities of Interest Survey, that I've also submitted to your staff, which is the narrative that accompanies these neighborhood boundary lines.

I've also submitted the testimony of Bethany Lee, another staff member from AALDEF, that conducted a housing survey in Sunset Park and Bensonhurst as well as other neighborhoods in New York City, which makes the case why Sunset Park and Bensonhurst are so similar for our communities and why they should be together in one legislative district.

I've also submitted to the staff the current New York City Council District lines with each
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Asian American neighborhood boundaries overlaid. So you can see how the Asian-American community is divided into numerous City Council districts. AALDEF was involved in a litigation, federal litigation, regarding Congressional lines (inaudible) v. Cuomo, right here in the Eastern District of New York. We submitted these boundary lines, we submitted the Communities of Interest Survey in this federal litigation, and the Court adopted and was persuaded by our District lines. We worked with the African-American American community, the Center for Law \& Social Justice, who you heard from earlier today; LatinoJustice, PRLDEF, as well as the National Institute for Latino Policy. So we worked with all the other communities of color, federally protected under the Voting Rights Act, to come up with a Unity Map with State Assembly, State Senate, and Congress. We were successful in our efforts. The Federal Court adopted our map. We will be coming out with a Unity Map for the City Council. We humbly request that you pay attention to that map. It is an effort, a joint effort, by all the communities of color in New York City,
and we are looking forward to working with this Commission to make sure that all the communities of color are fairly represented in the new New York City Council District lines.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Vattamala.
Our next speaker is Ernesto Vigoreaux of -representing himself.

MR. VIGOREAUX: Good evening, Commission, and everyone, fellow Brooklynites who are here today to voice your opinion on the redistricting, an important job that you have ahead of you, so I commend you for your public service taking the time to do this.

I am a simple homeowner. We live, my wife and I, and our children, we live on 4th Avenue, near Gowanus and Sunset Park area. Our first home, although I grew up in the South Bronx, I decided to make Brooklyn our home. I'm here just to reiterate my support for the cultural community and cultural fabric that we have in each of our neighborhoods in Brooklyn together. If in fact redistricting lines are developed, it would be great to see my children to be able to go to communities that have been kept intact where there is adequate political representation
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of these communities in our New City Council as we are redistricting them, as we're developing them now. So again I'm here as a homeowner humbly to voice my opinion on making sure that District lines are drawn in such a way that you ultimately will decide that protects communities, that protects culture, that protects a lot of folks that have been living there a long time, and you folks that have arrived that make up part of this new fabric. I myself, I'm half Latino and my mother is Asian. So for me, it would be great to continue to see a District that is represented by a Latino elected official in the areas of Sunset Park, while at the same time seeing for the first time an Asian elected representative in the new areas that are contiguous from Sunset Park and the northern part all the way through Bensonhurst connecting those two communities to bring about adequate representation that's reflective of the community that's there.

So again I wish you good luck. I know that you will look at the data closely, look at the new -- at the population data to come up with and arrive at the best conclusion possible that
represents all of the people that live in the community, and gives each community its own voice of representation.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Vigoreaux. Our next speaker is Christopher Kui. I hope I'm pronouncing that correctly. MR. KUI: Not quite.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Asian Americans for Equality.

MR. KUI: Hi. My name is Christopher Kui. I'm the Executive Director of Asians for Equality, a citywide social services organization with housing development, homeownership, and small business development capabilities targeting main client base of New York City's Asian and Asian-American residents.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify before you. As a representative of Asian Americans for Equality, we have seen a tremendous increase of our Asian and Asian-American constituents in the Borough of Brooklyn so that throughout southern Brooklyn we are seeing neighborhoods of upwards of 40 percent increases in Asian residents, numbering roughly 284,000 people in the latest Asian-American community
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In our study published last year called the This Thing Places (phonetic) share opportunity, which is of important, you know, that I'm handing out, we found that over one million Asian residents in the City almost 75 percent live in 20 Community Board districts that have over 12 percent Asian pluralities. In nine of these districts Asians made up more than 25 percent of the population. Within Brooklyn many neighborhoods fall within these 20 districts such as Sunset Park, Boro Park, Kensington and Midwood, just to name a few. In places like Bensonhurst, one in three residents are of Asian descent. Brooklyn's, Brooklyn Sunset Park is a U.S. Census data Asian neighborhood tabulation area with 53 percent of residents in Asian. Meanwhile, the opportunities for political representations have not kept pace with population growth with no elected official of Asian descent in Brooklyn when compared to Manhattan and Queens.

It is imperative that we allow such opportunity to arise by insuring that the Districts reflect changes in demographics so that
all New Yorkers are adequately represented. With the Borough population of 284,000 and growing, Asian-American New Yorkers should have at least one or maybe two elected officials in Brooklyn in the coming decade. This -- however, this must not be done at the expense of our groups but achieve in an informed manner where Asians can have elected representation while protecting the political will and aspirations of other minority groups in the Borough. Haphazardly lumping Asians with other Asian and major plurality Districts will only force division and pit underrepresented groups against each other. A better path would be similar to what happened in lower Manhattan, where the previous Redistricting Commission, with tremendous foresight 20 years ago created three districts: 1, 2 and 3, so that major pluralities were able to achieve political representation without impinging on each other. Today, we have Margaret Chin, Rosa Mendez, and Christine Quinn. An Asian-American, a Latina, and a woman of LGBT background, representing the major demographic constituents without doing so at each other's expense. I'm almost done. So we are happy, do feel strongly that this is
achievable for the City Council redistricting (inaudible) based on population study already done by New York-based Common Cause. We are seeing a tremendous increase in Asian residents in parts of Bensonhurst and its surrounding neighborhoods which can be made into Asian plurality districts much like Chinatown in Manhattan and Flushing in Queens. We urge the Commission to follow the examples set by the New York State Assembly recent Assembly in redrawing the boundaries of the 49th State Assembly District which composed a 51st -- 51 percent majority Asian district.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Kui. MR. KUI: So in conclusion, in conclusion, in closing, we just want to urge the Commission to closely study and recognize the population data, work diligently and creatively to ensure that City Council representations, hopes, and aspirations of 280,000, 280,000 Asian-Americans living in Brooklyn can be fulfilled in the coming decade. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: We have your written submission. MR. KUI: Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Our next speaker is Samuel Sieto?

MR. SIERRO: Sierro.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Sierro.
MR. SIERRO: Good evening, Commissioner, good evening Commission, and everyone in the room. Thank you for being with us this evening. I'll be brief. I represent no organization. I'm here strictly as a resident of District 38. I'm a lifelong resident of District 38, Sunset Park specifically. When I first moved there, there were kids in my class by the name of Olad, Spend (phonetic spellings). Okay, so now it's changed. As we went on, the Puerto Ricans came in, and so on, and so environment has changed, right? So in Sunset Park we have a pizzeria next door to a Chinese restaurant next to a gyro place and so on. We are a very diverse community in Sunset Park, and what we're seeking is if it's not broken we don't want to fix it. I think Sunset Park reflects the diversity, I think, of all New York. We're a small community. Anywhere you go you'll see Chinese, you'll see Puerto Rican, you'll see the whole spectrum of nations inside Sunset Park. And we're all being serviced, nobody
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is lacking in any service. The Chinese have the same issues as the Hispanic as the Arabic. We would ask that you keep that in consideration, and if you want things done right in the future we would ask that we allow the public to draw the lines, because the lines will be drawn based on the needs of the District and not the needs or the political aspirations of someone. That's all I have to say. Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you Mr. Sierro. (The audience applauded.) CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Our next speaker is Gladys Bruno. Gladys Bruno. MS. BRUNO: Good evening to all, and to the members of the Commission. My name is Gladys Bruno. I'm a member of CB 7. When I first moved to -- when I first moved into Sunset Park, to 52nd Street between 7th and 8th Avenue in 1987, it was quiet, it was a quiet community. I am a witness to the change that has been taking place. When the Asian community started moving into Sunset Park it has really changed and come to life. Our diversity is what makes us special and different as a community. So why segregate? We have been learning from each other, living and
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learning from each other's cultures. It's a beautiful thing, so why change that? Why separate what we all learn from each other and have been living together for about the last 15 years? Thank you for considering my testimony.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.
Our next speaker is David Galarza?
MR. GALARZA: Good evening. My name is
David Galarza. I'm am affiliated with (inaudible) Sunset Park project of Trinity Lutheran church. On August 4, 2012 we joined with members of Asian Americans for Equality and Common Cause for a workshop on this process. A process I might add that occurs every 10 years that seems to be rushed through what feels like 10 minutes even in the general public mostly aware and I'm engaged. The men and women who participated in this forum are engaged and aware of this process. I had stressed at a press conference prior to the forum for at least (inaudible) after this exercise was less about who was going to be in office and more about holding whoever that person was accountable to our respective communities. The maps created from this exercise seem on the surface fair and indeed

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION set a framework where we can begin a conversation, but both the Asian-American and Latino communities have grown substantially, and there's a real need to ensure both communities are respected, counted, and consulted in all matters of civic affairs. Obviously (inaudible) homogenous groups and since nuances of ethnic groups need to be taken into account, obviously we also need to take into account the protections afforded by the Voting Rights Act. As a Latino, I would be remiss if I didn't express my dissatisfaction, however, with the lack of representation of Latinos on this Commission. Latinos have had (inaudible) number of members on this body since 1990 even though the Latino community in the City has grown by 31 percent. We should have five members. I must also express my dissatisfaction of the timing of this process. If your stated goal is to make sure there's little to no real public participation, then by all means continue to schedule these meetings in the middle of the summer when most people are on vacation (inaudible). And in fact, if you really care about the democratic process and really want to engage and involve and include the myriad of
voices that exist and should be heard in this metropolis, you should change the calendar and this process and devise a better system to include the myriad voices. I want to thank Common Cause for reaching out to La Casita, to our brothers and sisters within our Asian-American community, and for breaking bread with us and discussing the many ways our communities can and will work together. Sadly, it seems like this kind of exercise -- sadly this exercise sometimes some government bureaucrats are more apt to despise than to appreciate. As (inaudible) moves to adopting these new lines I'll repeat what our friend in the (inaudible) have offered how (inaudible) would this process be when party's, the Mayor, the Council, et cetera how transparent will the process be? Will there be meaningful public participation specifically among Latinos and communities of color will map of related technology be to all communities? Will the real reallocation of state prisoners to the original New York City addresses be implemented? We'll have those questions as well as many answers in the weeks and months ahead, and we will continue to work with groups
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like Common Cause, LatinoJustice, PRLDEF, AALDEF, and make sure these voices, desires, and democratic rights of all New Yorkers are respected, considered and implemented in this process. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank, Mr. Galarza. Our next speaker will be Thomas Yu of the Asian Americans for Equality. MR. YU: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I'll keep my comments pretty brief, because you already heard from our Executive Director, Christopher Kui, earlier. He cited the numerous statistics that show the growth of Asian and Asian-American residents in many community District in Brooklyn. I won't go over that again. Just to reiterate, that we do feel that really the strength of this exercise is to really create the opportunity, not to bring an outcome, that is really creating an opportunity by redrawing of the lines; a plurality of people have the chance to elect one of their own or someone that they feel represents their ideas. We don't necessarily believe that it has to come at the expense of other groups in the community. For example, here some people discussing Sunset Park.

NEW YORK CITY DISTRICTING COMMISSION We feel that, you know, Sunset Park may not be the best place for dividing two different groups whereas there are kind of lower-hanging fruit such as Bensonhurst. I think we must follow the demographic data, see where the residents are. This is really a no-brainer, and it really kind of draws (inaudible) so that's all I have to say, thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you Mr. Yu.
Our next speaker will be Michael Rockfarell? I'm sorry, Rockford.

MR. ROCHFORD: Closer.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: From the St. Nicks Alliance.

MR. ROCHFORD: Good evening, members of the Commission. Thank you so much for having me. My name is Michael Rochford. I'm from the Director of the St. Nicks Alliance. We're located in Greenpoint-Williamsburg, Brooklyn. As you might be aware, our community has seen a growth in population over the last 10 years, and so we are very concerned that as a result of that we might see a division of our Latino community, particularly in the south side and central in East Kingsborough communities. We've been a
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neighborhood that's divided amongst different Council Districts as well Congressional and Assembly Districts, and we appeal to you to remain -- maintain the cohesion within our communities so that we might have adequate representation, particularly as the economic increases in our community have tended to isolate people of lower moderate income means so that we request that you keep that in mind in considering new District lines within North Brooklyn. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Rochford. Our next speaker will be Jo-Ellen Sanders. AUDIENCE MEMBER: She left, she left. CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Dr. Tim Law. DR. LAW: Yes. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen --

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Stand at the mic. DR. LAW: My name is Dr. Law. I am hired the New York City Board of Education, which I work for 35 years and also a 40-year resident of Brooklyn, Bensonhurst. My remarks on New York City Council redistricting would be as follows. Number one first. We have four New York City District in Bensonhurst: Dyker Heights,
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Gravesend and Sunset Park. That is 43, 44, 47 and 50. I think it's really much. According to CUNY Center For Urban Research, the Asian population have further increased 57 percent and also 19,747 poor people identified as Asians. Number two. That's the second, okay? That's the second. Because our cultural, language, and education or our language background, the Asian population are more, feel more comfortable in share, share in same communities and communicate with each other because they can understand each other is with there powers and also, they could understand and share information about our government, our benefits, entitlements, and education. And also, they go to work, schools or go other places. Most Asians, they want to settle down along a subway line, A line, E line, or F line, and where they can easily travel from Manhattan back to Brooklyn. Dyker Heights, Sunset Park, Graves End. And the other areas. Since 1990 more Asians, they moving to the school district. District 13, 20, 21, 32. Why? Because, because this is school district can provide bilingual education, and provides DS program and provide music program and other -- and other classes. So I suggest that
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 based on cultural, residents' cultural language, educational background, and also their cultural background, our reason to draw only three New York City District, City Council District instead of four. Can you based on that list, okay? This is my testimony. Thank you very much.CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you Dr. Law.
MR. LAW: Okay.
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Our next speaker is Larry Morrish, Bay Ridge Community Council.

MR. MORRISH: First off, Brooklyn is the perfect place, right in the home of Brooklyn, so you picked the right place. You were all smart enough to give a good part to Brooklyn so we appreciate that. Would the people from Brooklyn raise your hands again? We got Carl from the Chamber, and one of the most distinguished members of this City Council, Tish James, monitored everything, so this is great.

I'm here on behalf the of the Bay Ridge Community Council. That's an umbrella organization of 110 organizations in Bay Ridge, Dyker Heights, and Bath Beach and Fort Hamilton, and I'm here to say that we want to keep everything as it is. We've been beat up enough
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through the years. We're very happy with what we currently have, and we appeal to you to leave it as is and support that. And the last thing to say. Seeing that the distinguished Senator from Queens, my dear friend, Col. Padavan, who is with my friend Chris Macom (phonetic spelling) for many years. Thank you Colonel, nice to see you. And thank you for your good work. God bless you. CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you, Mr. Morrish. That concludes the list of persons who signed up in advance to speak. If anyone else would like to speak may $I$ suggest you just raise your hand and I'll call on you and you can state your name when you -- at the beginning and take three minutes.

MR. DREYFUS: My name is Richard Dreyfus. I am a retired senior. I live in Manhattan. I have a question for your staff, I guess. It's probably not applicable to the Charter. What I understand, the Districts have to be approximately equal in population. My question is has your staff done any analysis of the number of registered voters in each of the districts, and are there districts that have a higher proportion or a less proportion of registered voters even
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though there population is the same? And would that indicate a neighborhood where there is more non-citizens who can vote? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you. Anyone else? Councilman Lander.

MR. LANDER: Good evening, Chair Romano, Executive Director Hum, members of the Districting Commission, it's wonderful to see you all here in Brooklyn for the Commission.

I'm Council Member Brad Lander. I'm very honored to represent the 39th District in Brooklyn. Most I've had, I just came in a few minutes ago, but I really wanted to make sure I had to listen to what people had to say. I'm proud that the process that we have really in my opinion is a model process for thinking about what the Districts should be, for listening to public testimony, for looking at the data, thinking about how we get really solid democratic representation, how we pay attention to the issues of equality and justice, so I'm glad to hear, to listen to people. I know people from the Asian community have come out to talk tonight. There are a lot of issues you're going to face in Brooklyn. I will mention two very
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very small issues that $I$ don't think get to the large levels that some of the people have presented tonight. I know you'll hear the 39th is a wonderful District, like all its neighborhoods, I like every person in it, but just two sort of small issues that really relate to industrial areas and their relationship to the residential communities nearby, and I have some written testimony to provide on this as well.

The residential portion of the Columbia Street Waterfront neighborhood is in a separate District, the 39th, which I represent, from the portion that contains the active freight terminal on the waterfront in the 33rd, and many issues have come up in my tenure that require navigating across the issues that related to the Port's operation. The most tangible being that when the tarp came off the salt pile, all the salt from the Port blew across into the neighbors' yards, so those issues affecting the (inaudible) of the Port and industrial issues across the street. It was unified representation of the ports in the neighborhood across the street and similarly the Gowanus canal is cut apart from the 33rd, the 38th and 39th District, which I represent, and
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this area faces many planning policies issues in the coming years. The EPA Superfund cleanup, the need to improve water quality there, and a whole set of land use and planning issues that are going to take us years to decide, and I believe that we would benefit from a more unified representation of the Gowanus Canal area in the Council District.

So I thank you for the opportunity to share those, two, some of the more narrow concerns. Most of all, I want to come out and say I appreciate the Council process, listen, the independent process setup is a good one, and I'm glad you're here in Brooklyn and listening to what people have to say and good luck. I know that the map, the changing demographics, and the priorities of New Yorkers will be challenged, balanced, but I look very forward to reviewing the work. Thank you again very much for this opportunity.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you Council Member.
Anyone else would like to speak, address the Commission.

Yes, sir.
MR. CORNEGY: I might have to adjust this a
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(Laughter from the audience.)
Good afternoon, my name is Robert Cornegy, District Leader for the 56th Assembly District, which encompasses the 36 councilmanic district.

I just want to briefly remind the panel and everyone here of the longstanding history of Bedford-Stuyvesant as the largest African-American community, has been vibrant for decades, and is feeling the crunch of being encroached upon from other areas, and I'm asking that we keep it intact. It's the last bastion of African-American homeownership in the City, the last bastion of small business development, and African-American-owned businesses in the community. And we believe that any altering to the lines would severely impact on its growth and on its integrity as a community that has been largely African-American for it's history. So most people know Bedford-Stuyvesant for being largely African-American and being second to Harlem in African-American population, but really there's been a shift in the demographics, and Bedford-Stuyvesant now is the largest in African-American homeownership, and its integrity
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is really being infringed upon. We want to make sure we keep its integrity intact. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Can I ask you to repeat your name again, sir?

MR. CORNEGY: Robert Cornegy. District Leader for the 56th Assembly District. C-O-R-N-E-G-Y.

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.
MR. CORNEGY: And with all respect to my father, Junior.
(The audience laughed and applauded.)
MR. NIEVES: Good evening distinguished panel. My name is Javier Nieves, former New York State Assemblyman many moons ago. I see Latisha James, whom I know very well, the great job that she's doing, but basically I just wanted to step up here. I've participated in this process many moons ago also, when the redistricting panel had gotten together, Macciarolla, and participated in the drawing of the lines for the Puerto Rican Legal Defense Fund. And -- but with the current or the proposed 38th Council Seat the lines of advocacy, life-long resident of Sunset Park and Brooklyn, and from what I've seen there's just one issue that $I$ just want to add to it that you
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consider. There used to be sort of an arm sticking out of the 38th Council District along the waterfront along Columbia Street. I would urge you to consider that that is contrary to belief that Spanish Harlem, el Barrio, was the cradle of the Puerto Rican migration, and although many went there, it was Columbia Street where the marine tide and the Gowanus Canal ships used to dock, and that was the first Puerto Rican community in the United States at the turn of the century and for many, many years, and that you consider keeping that contiguous to the 38th Council Seat. Thank you very much for your time. (The audience applauded.)

CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Thank you.
Would anyone else like to address the Commission?
(Silence.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: All right. That concludes our first public hearing.
(The audience applauded.)
CHAIRMAN ROMANO: Next, our next public hearing will be in Manhattan at New York Law School on Thursday, this Thursday, August 16, 5 P.M. to 9 P.M., that's 185 West Broadway in lower
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 Manhattan. We hope to see some of you there, and thank you very much for coming this evening.(Whereupon, at 6:57 P.M., the above matter concluded.)

I, NORAH COLTON, CM, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify that the above is a correct transcription of my stenographic notes.
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|  | $284,000[2]-43: 24,45: 2$ | 56th [2] - 61:4, 62:6 | achievable [1] - 46:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '98[1] - 9:10 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 5th [1] - 31:1 | Act [5] - 6:22, 20:7, |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { [5] - 5:12, 21:1, 24:5, } \\ & 24: 23,45: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { [8]-4:25, 12:20, 20:22, } \\ & 23: 18,24: 19,24: 23, \\ & 38: 22,45: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  | 6 | Act-protected [1] - 20 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 0}[2]-5: 23,38: 13 \\ & \mathbf{3 1}[2]-23: 10,50: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 60th }[7]-15: 6,15: 10, \\ 16: 3,16: 16,16: 25, \end{gathered}$ | $36: 20,59: 13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10[12]-4: 17,5: 12,7: 19, \\ & 13: 2,28: 14,34: 9, \\ & 35: 15,49: 14,49: 16, \\ & 53: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $32[1]-55: 22$ | $35: 4,35: 11$ | activities [1] - 13:5 |
|  | $35[1]-54: 21$ | 65th [10]-15:15, 15:23, | $62: 25$ |
|  |  | 29:4, 29:15, 29:23, | added [1] - 8:12 |
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